Design and Implementation of Evidence-based Practices in Engineering Education



Karl A. Smith Civil, Environmental, and Geo- Engineering – University of Minnesota & Engineering Education – Purdue University <u>ksmith@umn.edu</u> http://personal.cege.umn.edu/~smith/links.htm

Transportation Engineering Education Workshop

It is strange that we expect students to learn, yet seldom teach them anything about learning. We expect students to solve problems, yet seldom teaching them anything about problem solving. And, similarly, we sometimes require students to remember A considerable body of material, yet seldom teach them the art of memory. It is time we Made up for this lack...

D.A. Norman. 1980. Cognitive engineering and education. In D.T. Tuma and F. Reif (Eds.), *Problem solving and education: Issues in teaching and research. Erlbaum, pp. 97-107.* 

2





#### Key Implications Distributed Repetition over time • Spaced vs. massed practice\* • Spiral curriculum Multiple modes of input • Visual • Audio • Kinesthetic • Self-explanation • Explaining to others \*Kandel, E.B. 2007. In Search of Memory: The Emergence of a New Science of Mind. New York: Norton.



Welco	ome & Overview
Three	BIG IDEAS (Enduring Outcomes)
• S	Streamlined Course Design
• A	Alignment of Outcomes, Assessment and Instruction
• II	nteractive Learning
Stream	mlined Course Design
• C	Course outcomes planning form
• C	Course Concept Map
Assess	sment Overview
• T	Types of assessment
• V	Nriting learning objectives
• N	Vlapping objectives on a taxonomy exercise
Intera	ctive (Cooperative) Learning
• C	Description & Rationale
• C	Cooperative Learning
	Key Concepts
• 1	Iypes of cooperative Learning planning exercise
• 1	nformal cooperative Learning planning exercise
Implei	menting Evidence-Based Instructional Practices
• P	Practice
• E	ixamples
• A	Applications



# **Streamlined Course Design**

Streamlined Course Design is a guided process that is based on the engineering design process.

The end product Streamlined Course Design is a course where what is learned, how that learning is measured, and the learning environment are all aligned.

#### Why Streamline?

- Aligned courses students are learning what "matters" and you have evidence about their learning
- Increased student learning about the things that matter
- Increased satisfaction by instructors and students about their experience in the course





































# **Types of Assessment**

#### 1. Diagnostic Assessment

Conducted at the beginning of an instructional unit, course, semester. . . to determine the present level of knowledge, skill, interest. . . of a student, group or class.

#### 2. Formative Assessment

Conducted periodically throughout the instructional unit...to monitor progress and provide feedback toward learning goals.

#### 3. Summative Assessment

Conducted at the end of an instructional unit or semester to judge the quality and quantity of student achievement and/or the success of the instructional unit.



- 1. To be meaningful, assessment has to have a purpose that is significant
- 2. Meaningful assessments provide a direction and road map for future efforts to learn.

# Writing Learning Objectives

- 1. WHEN DO YOU WRITE LEARNING OBJECTIVES?
- 2. HOW DO YOU WRITE LEARNING OBJECTIVES?
- 3. EXAMPLES
- 4. NOW YOU TRY!







Activity: Writing Learning Objectives for your Curricular Priorities

**Total Activity Time: ~15 minutes** 

Part 1: Individual Exercise (5 minutes)

Part 2: Small Group Discussion (10 minutes)

#### Activity Part I (5 Minutes): Write your Learning Objectives (LO)s

On your own, write LOs for your *enduring outcomes* first. If time allows, try to write one LO for an *important to know* piece of your curricular priorities Activity Part II (10 Minutes)<br/>Discuss with your GroupShare your learning objectives (LOs) with your<br/>breakout group. Do your LOs seem SMART and<br/>oul-written to your peers?



### Taxonomies of Learning Objectives

What is a taxonomy? How do you use them? Why are they useful? When do you use them?

#### Taxonomies of Learning Objectives

Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives: Cognitive Domain (Bloom & Krathwohl, 1956)

A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives (Anderson & Krathwohl, 2001).

Taxonomy of significant learning (Fink, 2003)

Evaluating the quality of learning: The SOLO taxonomy (Biggs & Collis, 1982)

Facets of understanding (Wiggins & McTighe, 1998)

# Anderson and Krathwohl taxonomy

AN UPDATED VERSION OF BLOOM'S TAXONOMY

#### **Revised Bloom's Taxonomy**

The Cognitive Process Dimension represents a continuum of increasing cognitive complexity—from lower order thinking skills to higher order thinking skills. Anderson and Krathwohl (2001) identify nineteen specific cognitive processes that further clarify the scope of the six categories (Table 2).

Table 2. The Cognitive Processes dimension — categories & cognitive processes and alternative names





R	evised Bloc The Knowledge Dime ranging from concrete to abstract Table 1. The Knowledge Dimen	DM'S Learni nsion classifies four types of kno (Table 1). sion – major types and subtype:	ng Taxonor	<b>TTY</b> cted to acquire or construct—
	concrete knowledge			→ abstract knowledge
	factual	conceptual	procedural	metacognitive*
	knowledge of terminology knowledge of specific details and elements	knowledge of classifications and categories knowledge of principles and generalizations knowledge of theories, models, and structures	knowledge of subject-specific skills and algorithms knowledge of subject-specific techniques and methods knowledge of criteria for determining when to use appropriate procedures	strategic knowledge knowledge about cognitive tasks, including appropriate contextual and conditional knowledge self-knowledge
	(Table 1 adapted from Anderson and "Metacognitive knowledge is a specia cognition and about oneself in relation http://wwww.celt.iactac	Krathwohl, 2001, p. 46.) I case. In this model, "metacognitive kr n to various subject matters " (And	nowledge is knowledge of [one's own] erson and Krathwohl, 2001, p. 44).	IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Center for Excellence in Learning and Teaching
	nttp.// www.cert.iasta	te.euu/wp-content/up		



Man of Wester	-	(	Cognitive Pro	cess Dimension	1	
Learning Objecti	ves 1 Remember	2 Understand	3 Apply	4 Analyze	5 Evaluate	6 Create
A. Factual Knowledge	L2-GA					
B. Conceptual Knowledge		L1-IK L3-IK				
C. Procedural Knowledge						
D. Metacognit Knowledge	tive		L4-EU L5-EU			
ramework: Anders	on & Krathwohl, 20	01)				



# <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text>

Dee Fink – Creating Significant Learning Experiences
A TAXONOMY OF SIGNIFICANT LEARNING
1. Foundational Knowledge
<ul> <li>"Understand and remember" learning</li> </ul>
For example: facts, terms, formulae, concepts, principles, etc.
2. Application
Thinking: critical, creative, practical (problem-solving, decision-makin
Other skills
For example: communication, technology, foreign language
Managing complex projects
3. Integration
Making "connections" (i.e., finding similarities or interactions)
Among: ideas, subjects, people
4. Human Dimensions
Learning about and changing one's SELF
Understanding and interacting with OTHERS
5. Caring
Identifying/changing one's feelings, interests, values
6. Learning How to Learn
Becoming a better student
<ul> <li>Learning how to ack and answer questions</li> </ul>

# Foundational Knowledge

What key <u>information</u> (e.g., facts, terms, formulae, concepts, principles, relationships, etc.) is/are important for students to <u>understand and remember</u> in the future?

What key <u>ideas</u> (or perspectives) are important for students to understand in this course?

# Application

What kinds of *thinking* are important for students to learn?

- Critical thinking, in which students analyze and evaluate
- Creative thinking, in which students imagine and create
- Practical thinking, in which students solve problems and make decisions

What important skills do students need to gain?

Do students need to learn how to *manage complex projects*?



## Human Dimension

What could or should students learn about *themselves*?

What could or should students learn about *understanding others* and/or *interacting with them*?





#### Application of Fink Taxonomy

Joi Mondisa - Developing Self-identity, Confidence, and Community: The NLFN STEM Girls' Mentoring Program Curricular Project

Taxonomy Level	Learning Objective
Foundational Knowledge	Recall at least three specific STEM career opportunities(LO6)
Integration	
Human Dimension	Describe two personal strengths (LO3)
Caring	
Learning how to Learn	Feel comfortable working together with others and constructing meaning with others ( <b>LO5</b> )
Application	Create and engage in making a Legos robot in a robotic competition. (LO7)
57	













# Framework for looking at "active" learning

ACTIVE ATTENTIONAL	CONSTRUCTIVE	INTERACTIVE
Doing something physically	Producing outputs that go beyond presented information	Dialoguing substantively on the same topic, and not ignoring a partner's contribution
Engaging activities	Self-construction	Guided-construction
Attending processes	Creation processes	Joint creation processes
ICAP framework, Michelene Chi, M.T.H. (2009). Active-Constructive-Intr conceptual framework for differentiating l activities. <i>Topics in Cognitive Science</i> , 1, 73	T.H. Chi eractive: A earning -105	
64		

### Other papers about ICAP

Chi, M.T.H. & Wylie, R. (2014). The ICAP framework: Linking cognitive engagement to active learning outcomes. *Educational Psychologist, 49:4,* 219-243.

Menekse, M., Stump, G.S., Krause, S. & Chi, M.T.H. (2013). Differentiated overt learning activities for effective instruction in engineering classrooms. *Journal of Engineering Education*, *102*(3), 346-374.

Streveler, R.A. & Menekse, M. (2017). Guest editorial: Taking a closer look at active learning. *Journal of Engineering Education, 106* (2), 186-190.

#### "Attentional" strategies

Attention is the gateway to learning

However, many of us live in a state of continuous partial attention

Strategies to help your student pay attention are important.

Examples:

- Assigning observation roles while watching a live demonstration or video
- $^{\circ}$  Asking students to repeat what another student has said
- Providing handouts with "fill in the blank" sections

#### **Constructive activities**

Research on learning has shown that we learn new information by connecting new information to what we already know (this is called "Constructivism")

Constructive activities help your students make that bridge between new and previous knowledge

Examples:

- Providing an example of a concept or theory
- Explaining something in one's own words
- $^{\circ}$  Converting written or numerical information into a diagram or graph

Activity: Developing a constructive learning activity

#### **Total Activity Time: ~15 minutes**

Part 1: Individual Exercise (5 minutes)

Part 2: Small Group Discussion (10 minutes)

Part 3: Report out

#### Activity Part I (5 Minutes): Sketch Plan

- 1. Describe your overall domain\*
- 2. List an enduring outcome for your course\*
- List a Learning Objective (LO) associated with that enduring outcome\*
- 4. Create a constructive learning activity associated with that learning objective

\*You may refer to previous assignments for this information.

#### Example

- 1. **Overall Domain:** Control Engineering for Chemical Engineers
- 2. Enduring outcome: Understanding the behavior of real processes as a consequence of their dynamic nature.
- 3. LO: Differentiate the building blocks of a complete process control loop and synthesize them to provide suitable process control solutions.
- 4. Constructive activity: Students will be given a conceptual model (printed diagram) of a process with two simple variables to control, namely temperature and level. They will be asked to predict the response of such variables to certain perturbations and select the perturbation with higher impact on the value of the variable.



# Activity Part III Lightning Talk Report Out

Share the key takeaways from your small group discussion on your constructive learning activity plans. Were there any similarities amongst group members?

#### From Constructive Learning to Interactive Learning

Gaining students' attention and engaging them in constructive learning activities is more effective than when students are passive; however, it's not the best we know how to do.

**Interactive learning** is most effective and can bring about the highest learning gains.

However, interactive learning is also the most time-intensive (for instructors and learners). Use it when you need it most (with the most important and difficult concepts).

So... look at your curricular priorities. Those that are the most important (enduring outcomes and important to know) are worth the "investment" in constructive and interactive activities.

Question: Your Experiences with Interactive Learning

What was your experience **as an undergraduate student** with interactive learning?

- First time you heard the term in a class setting *or* the first time you were asked to work with others in a class setting
- What did the instructor ask you to do?
- What rationale did the instructor provide?











#### **Cooperative Learning: An Evidence-Based Practice for Interactive Learning**

**Cooperative learning** is instruction that involves people working in teams to accomplish a common goal, under conditions that involve both *positive interdependence* (all members must cooperate to complete the task) and *individual and group accountability* (each member is accountable for the complete final outcome).

40



## **Cooperative Learning Introduced** to Engineering – 1981

Smith, K.A., Johnson, D.W. and Johnson, R.T., 1981. The use of cooperative learning groups in engineering education. In L.P. Grayson and J.M. Biedenbach (Eds.), Proceedings Eleventh Annual Frontiers in Education Conference, Rapid City, SD, Washington: IEEE/ASEE, 26-32.

Structuring Learning Goals To Meet the Goals of

Engineering Education

Karl A. Smith, David W. Johnson, and Roger T. Johnson University of Minnesota

<text><text><text><text><footnote><footnote><footnote><text><text><text><text>

Needs of Engineering G

http://personal.cege.umn.edu/~smith/docs/Smith-Pedagogies\_of\_Engagement.pdf



Undergraduate Teaching Faculty, 2011*						
Methods Used in "All" or "Most"	STEM women	STEM men	All other women	All other men		
Cooperative learning	60%	41%	72%	53%		
Group projects	36%	27%	38%	29%		
Grading on a curve	17%	31%	10%	16%		
Student inquiry	43%	33%	54%	47%		
Extensive lecturing	50%	70%	29%	44%		
*Undergraduate Teaching Faculty. National Norms for the 2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey, <u>www.heri.ucla.edu/index.php</u> .						

#### Why Emphasize Cooperative Learning?

Student learning Essential transferrable skill development Key to innovation High priority for Employers



#### Discipline-Based Education Research (DBER) Report





# The College Degrees & <u>Skills</u> Employers Most Want in 2015 (National Association of Colleges & Employers (NACE))

The NACE survey also asked employers to rate **the skills they most value in new hires**. Companies want candidates who can think critically, solve problems, work in a team, maintain a professional demeanor and demonstrate a strong work ethic. Here is the ranking in order of importance:

Competency	Essential Need Rating
Critical Thinking/Problem Solving	4.7
Teamwork	4.6
Professionalism/Work Ethic	4.5
Oral/Written Communications	4.4
Information Technology Application	3.9
Leadership	3.9
Career Management	3.6

http://www.forbes.com/sites/susanadams/2015/04/15/the-college-degrees-and-skills-employers-most-want-in-2015/

















Activity: Developing a "Book Ends on a Class Session" Plan

Total Activity Time: ~20 minutes

Part 1: Individual Exercise (5 minutes)

Part 2: Small Group Discussion (15 minutes)

Part 3: Lightning Talk Report Out









#### Informal Cooperative Learning Groups

- Can be used at any time
- Can be short term and ad hoc
- May be used to break up a long lecture
- Provides an opportunity for students to process material they have been listening to (Cognitive Rehearsal)
- Are especially effective in large lectures and one-time events (e.g., guest presentation)
- Include "book ends" procedure

103

• Are not as effective as Formal Cooperative Learning or Cooperative Base Groups



### Instructor's Role in Formal Cooperative Learning

- 1. Specifying **Objectives** (Academic and Interpersonal/Teamwork)
- 2. Making Decisions
- 3. Explaining Task, Positive Interdependence, and Individual Accountability
- 4. Monitoring and Intervening to Teach Skills
- 5. **Evaluating** Students' Achievement and Group Effectiveness

#### Cooperative Problem-Based Learning Format

**TASK:** Solve the problem(s) or Complete the project.

**INDIVIDUAL:** Develop ideas, Initial Model, Estimate, etc. Note strategy.

**COOPERATIVE:** One set of answers from the group, strive for agreement, make sure everyone is able to explain the strategies used to solve each problem.

**EXPECTED CRITERIA FOR SUCCESS:** Everyone must be able to explain the model and strategies used to solve each problem. **EVALUATION:** Best answer within available resources or constraints.

**INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTABILITY:** One member from your group may be randomly chosen to explain (a) the answer and (b) how to solve each problem.

**EXPECTED BEHAVIORS:** Active participating, checking, encouraging, and elaborating by all members.

INTERGROUP COOPERATION: Whenever it is helpful, check procedures, answers, and strategies with another group.









# **Assigning Roles**



# Chapter 8: Group Roles and Responsibilities

Roles

- Facilitator
- Checker
- Set-Up
- Materials Manager
- Safety Officer
- Reporter
- Dividing the labor

111



TEAMWORK	Teaching Cooperative Skills
	<ol> <li>Help students see the need to learn the skill.</li> <li>Help them know how to do it (T-chart).</li> <li>Encourage them to practice the skill daily.</li> <li>Help them reflect on, process, &amp; refine use.</li> <li>Help them persevere until skill is automatic</li> </ol>
	Monitoring, Observing, Intervening, and Processing
	Monitor to promote academic & cooperative success
	<b>Observe</b> for appropriate teamwork skills: praise their
	use and remind students to use them if necessary
	Intervene if necessary to help groups solve academic or teamwork problems.
	<b>Process</b> so students continuously analyze how well they learned and cooperated in order to continue successful strategies and improve when needed



Group Ground Rules Contract Form (Adapted from a form developed by Dr. Deborah Allen, University of Delaware)	
Project groups are an effective aid to learning, but to work best they require that all groups members clearly understand their responsibilities to ena onber. These project group ground rules describe the general responsibilities of every member to the group. You can adopt additional ground rules if your group believes they are needed. Your signature on this contract form signifies your commitment to adhere to these rules and expectations.	
<ul> <li>All group members agree to:</li> <li>1. Come to class and team meetings on time.</li> <li>2. Come to class and team meetings with assignments and other necessary preparations done.</li> </ul>	
Additional ground rules: 1.	
2.	
If a member of the project team repeatedly fails to meet these ground rules, other members of the group are expected to take the following actions:	
Step 1: (fill in this step with your group)	
If not resolved: Step 2: Bring the issue to the attention of the teaching team. If not resolved: Step 3: Meet as a group with the teaching team.	
The teaching team reserves the right to make the final decusions to resolve difficulties that arise within the groups. Before this becomes necessary, the team should try to find a fair and equitable solution to the problem.	
Member's Signatures: Group Number:	
1 3	
2 4	
	115



	Monitoring And Intervening
Cooperative Lesson Planning Form	1. Observation Procedure: Formal Informal
Subject Area: Date:	2. Observation By: Teacher Students Visitor
esson:	<ol><li>Intervening For Task Assistance:</li></ol>
biectives	
cademic:	4. Intervening For Teamwork Assistance:
ocial Skilla:	
reinstructional Decisions	5. Other:
roun Size: Method Of Assigning Students:	Evaluating And Processing
Roles:	1. Assessment Of Members' Individual Learning:
Room Arrangement:	
Materials:	2. Assessment Of Group Productivity:
One Copy Per Group One Copy Per Person	
0 Jigsaw 0 Tournament	<ol><li>Small Group Processing:</li></ol>
0 Other:	
Explain Task And Cooperative Goal Structure	4. Whole Class Processing:
1. Task:	
	5. Charts And Graphs Used:
2. Criteria For Success:	
	<ol> <li>Positive Feedback 1p Lach Student:</li></ol>
<ol> <li>Positive Interdependence:</li> </ol>	7 Carl Sania Tan Incommuna
	/: Goardening for improvement.
4. Individual Accountability:	8 Calabration:
5. Intergroup Cooperation:	
5. Expected Behaviora:	9 Other
	7. Out.



# Session Summary (Minute Paper)

#### **Reflect on the session**

- **1**. Most interesting, valuable, useful thing you learned.
- 2. Things that helped you learn.
- 3. Question, comments, suggestions.
- 4. Pace: Too slow 1 2 3 4 5 Too fast
- 5. Relevance: Little 1 2 3 4 5 Lots
- 6. Instructional Format: Ugh 1 2 3 4 5 Ah