

**The Many Homes of Engineering Education Research: Historical Analysis of PhD Dissertations**  
Abstract for Research on Engineering Education Symposium (REES) Davos, Switzerland, July 7-10, 2008

Johannes Strobel, Demetra Evangelou, Ruth A. Streveler, and Karl A. Smith  
School of Engineering Education, Purdue University

**Context and background**

Engineering education is portrayed as an emerging discipline and several new PhD programs have been established. While it is undisputed that engineering education is currently forming itself as a discipline, there are indications that there is a longer history of research that address specifically engineering education topics than commonly perceived. (in this paper as evidenced by Ph.D. theses). Streveler and Smith (2006), Smith (2006) and Wankat, et al. (2001) and others have begun to document the transition to more rigorous research in engineering education.

The aim of this paper is to provide insights into the history of research in engineering education, by analyzing Ph.D. dissertations, which undertook engineering education research. The study employs bibliometric and scientometric methodologies, developed in the field of information and library science.

The long-term goals for this line of research are to produce a database and methodological tools to research emerging questions about engineering education and elucidate on evidence pertaining to the following:

- \* Limited number of researchers in the field/discipline
- \* Field/discipline dominated by women
- \* Predominately classroom-based pedagogical studies
- \* Limited fundamental understanding in the field/discipline, i.e., few theory-based or theory-building studies

This line of research is important for a number of reasons:

- (a) To map past research on engineering education will reveal visible and invisible colleagues and may accelerate growth and recognition.
- (b) To learn about and from the research of invisible colleagues can reaffirm the reasons why this new emerging discipline is necessary (i.e. to provide visibility).
- (c) To know the roots of a discipline and “academic ancestors” provides a continuous line and can install a sense of tradition even into a young discipline.
- (c) To know an existing and relevant body of research provides the community with a rich database to draw on and equips novices into the discipline with major contributions.

**Research questions**

The principal research questions are: (1) to what extent can we track and map the development of engineering education as a discipline by studying Ph.D. theses that emphasize engineering education, (2) who is studying engineering education at the PhD dissertation level?

**Theoretical framework**

A developmental framework, adapted analogically from Developmental Science (Petermann, Niebank & Scheithauer, 2004) and Organizational Development (Senge, 1990), guided the research team. Developmental Science is an interdisciplinary approach to research the development of individuals and communities over their life-span. Organizational Development is the process through which an organization develops the internal capacity to most efficiently and effectively serve its mission through work and sustain itself over the long term.

Our adapted framework can best be described by the following attributes and assumptions (a) no human activity begins from a ‘tabula rasa’ (empty slate), (b) the developmental process assumes systematic and

successive change over time including visible and less visible precursors, (c) development is characterized by both (1) evolutionary (cumulative) steps and (2) revolutionary (transformative) steps (see for revolutionary primarily Kuhn, 1979).

### **Methodology**

Our methodology is grounded in bibliometric and scientometric analysis (Rehn & Ulfmann, 2006). As more digital data become publicly available new opportunities for researchers in education are arising, particularly in the application of information science and scientometrics. Scientometrics is the science of measuring and analyzing science. In practice, scientometrics is often done using bibliometrics that is measurement of (scientific) publications (ISSI, 2008).

This paper represents the first step of a much longer research program: We utilized Boolean-based search strategies, qualitative surface verification and screening of the results, and descriptive statistics of accumulated data.

### Data source

Our primary source for Ph.D. theses was the dissertation database, Dissertations and Theses (PQDT), for the USA, Canada and Western Europe (to some extent). According to the publisher (Proquest, 2008), this database is the world's most comprehensive collection of dissertations and theses. This database contains over 2.4 million citations and over one million full-text PDFs, which cover dissertations from 1841 to current (with the last year (2007) not yet fully indexed).

Our process of search can be described as follows:

1. Searching for theses/dissertations using Boolean search logic  
Example: Title search "engineering education"; Title search "science education" AND engineering; "math(ematics) education" AND engineering; extension into abstracts (abstracts only available since 1980).
2. Verifying per individual case that the dissertations deal with engineering and education
3. Downloading the references into a bibliographic reference tool (endnote)
4. Cleaning the database to exclude master theses (our main focus were doctoral dissertations).
5. Exporting the references to a reference visualization tool (refviz) and to a statistical analysis tool (excel) to perform descriptive statistics (distribution of frequency).

A typical record of a dissertation might include (level of details varies greatly):

Author, title, year, university, department, advisor, committee members, abstract, keywords and subject terms.

### Analysis

In our preliminary analysis, we utilized descriptive statistics to provide information on the number of dissertations per year and the universities in which the dissertations were written.

Additional coding was performed to classify the relationship of the theses to engineering: The research team utilized a variety of different classification systems:

1. Core topics of the dissertation
  - (a) Dissertations, which explicitly use the term "engineering education" in their title or in their abstract. (Example: "Title: Effectiveness of a computer-integrated, multimedia learning environment on engineering education").
  - (b) Dissertations, which do not use the term engineering education, but are educational by nature and explicitly situated in an engineering context. (Example: "Title: Case studies of engineering faculty: Shifting pedagogical assumptions").
  - (c) Dissertations, which deal explicitly with STEM fields. So, by definition, they address engineering, but subsume engineering under a general STEM umbrella with addressing and reporting differences within

STEM. (Example: "Title: The effects of a project-based mathematics curriculum on middle school students' intended career paths related to science, technology, engineering and mathematics")

2. Engineering Education Research Colloquia areas (JEE)
3. Topic-cluster analysis of the dissertation to reveal major areas of existing research

**Findings and Discussion**

A search of the dissertation database resulted in 452 matches congruent with our search and verification strategies as outlined in the methodology section. To reiterate, these dissertations are all documenting educational research with a clear emphasis on engineering context. Furthermore, the research team wants to stress here, that at this stage of our research project, the search is not inclusive of all dissertations on engineering education. There are likely more studies in other disciplines, which are researching engineering education. Additional searches will be performed to harvest the rich literature in (a) design, (b) management, (c) architecture, (d) history, (e) sociology of science, and (f) more technologically oriented but related areas. Searching this pool of possible candidates will prove more difficult, since the definition of engineering lays in the hands of the researchers, not in the hands of the authors of the Ph.D. theses.

The high number of Ph.D. theses certainly was an unexpected surprise for the research team.

Having created a searchable and reliable database from the available records, bibliometric analyses enabled us to map research production from 1841 to 2007 as indicated by Ph.D. theses. Our earliest dissertation was from 1929: Mann, C.V. (1929) Objective Type Tests in Engineering Education as Applied to Engineering Drawing and Descriptive Geometry, University of Iowa.

The following two graphs indicate the direction of our preliminary findings:




Figure 1: Ph.D. theses in engineering education topics




Figure 2: Number of Ph.D. theses by institutional breakdown (only institutions with 4 or more theses reported)

Major additional findings we will report are: (1) A breakdown of dissertations in research topics adopting several previous mentioned additional classifications (2) Shifts as documented through the data, and (3) an overlay of pivotal events in engineering education.

### **Recommendations for engineering education**

Our recommendations pertain particularly to the education of the next generation of researchers in engineering education. Engineering education research does not happen in a vacuum: Our study indicates that engineering education research has a long, scattered, and as compared to science education, a relatively small and rather invisible history. Nevertheless, a body of research literature exists, which Ph.D. students should be aware of. In addition, since most all of the Ph.D. studies are conducted at a variety of academic homes, reading the past research in engineering education can introduce the next generation of researchers to a wide variety of conceptualizations, theoretical frameworks and methodologies

### **Future research plans**

This is an early sketch of existing literature on research in engineering education. Additional work will be done to (a) expand the library to underrepresented areas in which engineering education could be seen rather implicitly (i.e. design, management, history, sociology of science etc.), (b) extent the dissertation search to other countries. Databases for Australasian, Chinese, Latin- and South American, and European theses are already identified, (c) analyze the collected dissertations on a more granular level to make more detailed statements on the nature of the different dissertations, (d) conduct citation analysis to find not only institutional powerhouses in engineering education but influential literature and citation clusters to see the intellectual influence, roots, and progression on the research in engineering education, and finally (e) to determine emerging themes and areas of engineering education.

In addition to these four immediate goals, future plans include (a) the study of the life-stories of engineering education researchers to track their career path and the variety of academic homes in which they pursued their research areas, (b) collection of articles and journals to supplement the Ph.D. theses, (c) large scale citation analysis on journal articles for further quantitative analysis.

The research team is looking forward to the session of the symposium to receive feedback on which other terms to use for most appropriate inclusion, additional categorization and codes, and additional research questions (and so to provide a form of "service discovery" for the emerging community).

### **References**

- International Society for Scientometrics and Informetrics (ISSI) (2008). Website: <http://www.issi-society.info> last accessed at February 15, 2008.
- Kuhn, T.S. (1970) *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions* (2nd. ed.). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Petermann, F., Niebank, K. & Scheithauer, H. (2004). *Entwicklungswissenschaft - Entwicklungspsychologie, Genetik, Neuropsychologie*. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- Proquest (2008). Dissertations and Theses (PQDT): Product Page. Online accessible at [http://www.proquest.com/products\\_pq/descriptions/pqdt.shtml](http://www.proquest.com/products_pq/descriptions/pqdt.shtml) last accessed at February 15, 2008.
- Rehn, C. & Kronman, U. (2006). *Bibliometric Handbook*. Karolinska Institutet. Online version: [http://ki.se/content/1/c6/01/79/31/Bibliometric\\_handbook\\_for\\_Karolinska\\_Institutet\\_v1.0.pdf](http://ki.se/content/1/c6/01/79/31/Bibliometric_handbook_for_Karolinska_Institutet_v1.0.pdf). last accessed at February 15, 2008.
- Senge, P. (1990) *The Fifth Discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization*. Doubleday, New York.
- Smith, K.A. (2006) Continuing to build engineering education research capabilities. Guest Editorial, *IEEE Transactions on Education*, 49 (1), 1-3.

- Streveler, R.A. & Smith, K.A. (2006) Conducting rigorous research in engineering education. Guest Editorial, *Journal of Engineering Education*, 95 (2), 103-105.
- Wankat, P.C., Felder, R.M., Smith, K.A. and Oreovicz, F. (2002) The engineering approach to the scholarship of teaching and learning. In Huber, M.T & Morreale, S. (Eds.), *Disciplinary styles in the scholarship of teaching and learning: Exploring common ground*. Washington, DC: American Association for Higher Education and the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching.